IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

APPELLATE SIDE

WRIT PETITION NO.5048 OF 2002

Shri Ashok N. Chauhan : Petitioner

V/s.

Union of India & Ors. : Respondents

...

Mr.C.M.Kothari for the petitioner.

Mr.D.A.Dube for respondent no.1.

Mr.R.M.Agrawal for respondent nos.2 to 4.

...

CORAM : S.RADHAKRISHNAN &
S.A.BOBDE, JJ.

November 3, 2004.

P.C.:

1. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned counsel for the respondents.

2. By this petition, the petitioner is impugning the order dated 30.5.2002 passed by the Central Administrative Tribunal, Mumbai Bench. In para 3 of the said order, the Tribunal has clearly observed that the petitioner's claim in the Original Application pertains to the years 1992 to 1994 with regard to payment of certain salary for the

vacation period along with interest, etc. Mr.Kothari, learned counsel for the petitioner, fairly states that after 1994, the petitioner was not continued in service whereas the Original Application had been filed on 31.12.1999. This is ex facie barred by the law of limitation. We do not find anything erroneous or perverse in the order of the Central Administrative Tribunal refusing to entertain the said application. Hence, in the facts and circumstances of the case, there is no merit in the petition and the same stands dismissed.

Sd/-

(S. RADHAKRISHNAN, J.)

Sd/-

(S.A. BOBDE, J.)